A FOUNDING FAMILY CONFRONTS
MULTIPLE DISABILITIES

Large scale editions of the writings of Founding Fathers and other “Great White Men” in Early American History are often associated with contributions only to traditional fields of history, and not with the newer forms of social history. But in fact because the publications and the larger collections on which they draw are so comprehensive, and include private as well as public papers, they can and often have made contributions to many other aspects of history. Standard academic procedures often don’t call attention to such hidden topics. Reviews generally don’t refer to them. Indexes may not highlight them. The finding aids to the archival collections may not note them. As editions increasingly are placed online and become searchable some of these problems may be overcome. Health conditions are one of the most common items mentioned in Early American correspondence and diaries, and references useful to the history of disabilities can sometimes be found. Such is the case with The Papers of John Jay, based on original manuscripts in the Rare Book and Manuscript Room of the Columbia University Libraries and photocopies assembled from repositories around the world.

New York Founding Father John Jay (1745-1829), was the sixth of seven surviving children of Peter Jay (1704-82) and Mary Van Cortlandt Jay (1705-77). Four of his older siblings were inflicted in varying degrees by disabilities. As editors of the Jay Papers we have primarily been interested in how this family circumstance affected Jay’s life, personality, and character, and how it affected family dynamics generally. However, historians of disabilities can no doubt tease out other implications from what can be learned in Jay’s papers.

This paper seeks to report what is known of how disabilities affected the Jay family, and to call attention to other editions and collections that may make similar contributions to those studying disabilities. It also explores ways in which the American Revolution complicated the lives of Jay’s “unfortunate” family and affected the documentation of the lives of the disabled siblings.
Two of John Jay’s brothers, Sir James Jay (1732-1815), a brilliant if erratic medical doctor and inventor, and Frederick (1747-99), a merchant and sometime legislator of unspectacular talents, whatever their problems, could not be classified as disabled. Of the other four children, two, Peter (1734-1813) and Anna Maricka (Nancy) (1737-91), were blinded by small pox in 1739. In 1745 Peter Sr. decided to abandon his active mercantile career and move the family from New York City to a farm in Rye, New York, along the Long Island Sound in Westchester County. There, he thought, his “little blind ones” would be safe from “the dangers and confusions of the city life.” At Rye the children, surrounded by a familiar environment, and cared for both by the family and presumably by the family’s slaves, appear to have thrived. Peter and Nancy were kept together, and referred to almost as a unit, in the way that twins often are. They seemed to share the closest of bonds. Upon meeting them for the first time in 1774 Sarah Livingston Jay, John Jay’s bride, commented on their cheerfulness and good humor; instead of depressing, they raised her spirits.¹

The remaining two siblings Augustus, known as Guss or Gussey (1730-1801), and Eve (1728-1810), did less well. Augustus apparently suffered from a severe learning disability, some of the details of which can be found in correspondence between Peter Jay and Samuel Johnson, later president of Kings College, who undertook the task of teaching 8-11-year-old Augustus to read and do math—with little success. His descriptions of Augustus’s problems sound like a mixture of dyslexia and attention deficit disorder. Gussey though a “lovely’ and “dear” child was inattentive and unfocused, with a “bird-witted Humour,” and made little progress in reading despite being sent to a teacher noted for effective reading instruction. After three years (1738-41), Gussy was not sent back for further schooling; there is no record of further formal education or training; he apparently could read and write well enough to conduct correspondence with his brothers as an adult, although none of his letters have been found. Gussy had no recorded career or trade, and is described as having become “an idle fellow” who “gave his family much trouble and anxiety.” For most of his adult life was boarded outside the family residence and financed by inheritances and family support. A Dutch traveler, Carel de Vos van Steenwijk, visiting America in 1783-84, encountered in a home he visited a “disreputable” brother of John Jay. This is probably a reference to Augustus. For the most part family histories are silent about Augustus—little more than his existence is mentioned.²

Eve, though she is reported as a child as suffering long-term illnesses, including pleurisy and fevers, seems to have been quite intelligent. However, she appears as an adult in the extant record as suffering from hystericis and fits and extreme emotional volatility. The fullest descriptions of her behavior come from two unreliable narrators, her estranged husband, Loyalist minister Harry Munro, and her unhappy stepdaughter Elizabeth (“Betsey”) Munro Fisher, who later published a memoir that detailed her
childhood sufferings at Eve’s hands. The family’s dysfunction shattered the silence that might otherwise have surrounded Eve. There is no independent way to document the truth of the Munros’ assertions or to determine conclusively whether Eve was truly mentally ill or was a difficult, nonconforming woman trapped in an unhappy marriage. She was unable to cope with childrearing in the face of an often absent husband. Her stepdaughter depicted Eve as charging her with the care of the infant Peter Jay Munro, as pinching and hitting her when she was unable to quiet the baby, and as locking her unfed in the basement as punishment. The neighbors reported the abuse to her husband. Elizabeth charged that even little Peter was hit with a stick and knocked down after inadvertently interfering with Eve’s gardening at age six, and that he attempted to imitate an overheard story of suicide by hanging. Elizabeth eventually got her father to place her elsewhere, and entered an early marriage to escape both from Eve and from an even more undesirable marriage promoted by her father. Fleeing internment as a Loyalist, Harry Munro abandoned the family by 1777. The Jay family assumed responsibility for Eve’s support and took over Peter’s education by age 10. John Jay took him with him on his diplomatic mission to Europe from 1779-84 so that Peter had little further contact with his mother until grown up. As Peter Jay Sr. aged, John and Frederick increasingly took over the care and management of Eve and Gussy and generally kept them at some distance from the family and from each other.3

How did the Revolution alter the situation? Since the Jay home was in the British-occupied area of New York and the Jays were staunch patriots, the family had to relocate further north, removing all from their familiar environment. By that time both Jay parents were aged and ailing; Mary Jay died in 1777. Peter Sr., then in his seventies, sought to manage a rented farm and care for Peter and Nancy, with the help of slaves, some of whom were also aging or infirm. He was assisted from the time John Jay departed for Europe by Frederick, whom John had enlisted to care for the family in his absence. Frederick declared the burden “almost too heavy to bear.”4 The family fortunes were also diminished and disrupted by the war, so the privileged conditions that softened the difficulties of caring for the disabled were considerably reduced. The family even suffered from a home invasion by a marauding Loyalist band, which robbed the family of their cash and many household goods, but reportedly treated blind Peter and Nancy well. Peter Sr.’s health broke down and he died in April 1782, greatly to the distress of Peter and Nancy.5

The war also affected our access to information about the family. While abroad John Jay desperately sought family news, which only occasionally arrived. More often, the mail was intercepted or lost, leaving scholars, like Jay himself, missing many details of family circumstances and care. Jay sought to convey money and goods to the family but often they failed to arrive.
Jay’s wartime correspondence reveals that although Peter and Nancy remained within the household throughout the war, Gussy was housed elsewhere in the Hudson Valley. He was reported as “behaving well.” Eve, stayed for a time with the family, but mostly remained at a distance, a circumstance Jay strongly approved, in hopes of sparing his father further woes. In addition to revealing the guilt and distress Jay felt at separation from his family under wartime conditions, Jay’s letters display his great attachment to his blind siblings and his desire to return to them, at a time when his relations to his healthy brothers Sir James and Frederick were strained, and when he avoided Gussey and Eve. Ill and emotionally stressed by the negotiation of the peace treaty, Jay declined further appointments and planned his return to America, hoping to return to private life and spend many happy hours in conversation with Peter and Nancy. It was a fantasy not soon fulfilled since Jay was chosen Secretary for Foreign Affairs, then Supreme Court Chief Justice, then negotiator of the Jay treaty, and, finally governor of New York. Not until 1801 did he in fact retire. Such a pattern of deep family love and attention to the physically disabled, and criticism, embarrassment, and distance from the mentally or emotionally-challenged family members, is of course not uncommon—a similar dynamic appeared for example in the recent Off-Broadway play “Tribes.” But the Jay family makes a dramatic case study in Early American history.

Certain other family records for this period also are revealing—namely the wills of various family members, and the ways they provided for the various siblings. When Peter Jay Sr. died in 1782, his will made detailed provisions for his children. His lands and property were divided among four sons, though James had debts to the family deducted from his share. John, Peter and Frederick divided family real estate among them, and were given a choice of properties as part of their shares. With John’s encouragement Peter took over the family farm in Rye, and was assisted in rehabilitating it from wartime damages. There, restored to his familiar environment, Peter lived with Nancy, married, and capably ran the estate. He is described as having such sensitive hands that he became an expert evaluator of horses by touch, a skilled carpenter and a knowledgeable farmer. Gussey on the other hand was left a fixed sum of 500 pounds, placed at interest under the supervision of trustees to provide for his support; he did not have independent status to manage his affairs on his own. Other inheritances left him on the same footing. Both Nancy and Eve were also granted fixed sums of 1800 pounds for their support; but Eve’s money was under trust for herself and her son Peter, probably both because of her instability as well as the need to prevent her husband from taking control of the funds. Thus, the blind children retained greater self-determination than did Gussey and Eve. They were also spoken of with respect for their competence, common sense, and prudence, in ways that even their healthy siblings, apart from John Jay, often were not.
The siblings benefitted after the war from the settlement of another inheritance, that from an English cousin of Peter Sr., Mary Ann Peloquin of Bristol. This will provided cash payments for all the children, 500 pounds each except for Augustus, who received only 100 pounds. Harry Munro, then in England, seized control of Eve’s share of the inheritance and long refused allotting any of it to her support or to his son Peter. However, after long, very public embarrassment from vociferous and frequent appeals from Eve and her relatives, Harry finally turned over some of the Peloquin inheritance to Eve and Peter, with the stipulation that Eve be kept away from him. “I am naturally fond of the Company of a virtuous & good woman,” Harry asserted, “But a contentious & brawling woman, Good God, who can bear? For the Space of ten long years and upwards, I strove to bring her to a Sense of her conjugal Duty; but all in Vain.” Peter Jay Munro, with some assistance from his charming cousin Peter Augustus Jay, later persuaded Harry to sign over his American landholdings to Peter. The ensuing conflict with his stepsister over the property led to her arrest and imprisonment for forgery, and to the publication in 1810 of her memoir detailing Eve’s abuses. In the meantime Eve, who generally rented a room in Manhattan after the war, had apparently learned to be sufficiently tactful to extract payment of funds controlled by John Jay, even though he considered her often extravagant, until her funds were turned over to the management of Peter Jay Munro in 1794.

Finally the extant wills of the siblings themselves provide some insights into their relationships. Nancy, with rather substantial funds remaining at the time of her death in 1791, made several specific bequests, but left the bulk of her estate to her brother Peter. Augustus, who also resided in New York City after the war, awarded his remaining funds to Sir James in 1801.

What other ways did the family history of disability manifest itself? The Jays were extremely health conscious. John Jay’s letters reveal constant attention to physical and mental health, his own and that of his family. Fresh air and exercise, especially long walks and horseback riding, are frequently recommended, particularly as a way of warding off stress and depression. Interestingly, Jay and his “unfortunate” siblings, had long lives. He was quick to ensure that all his children were inoculated against smallpox. His letters to a longtime friend, Peter Van Schaack, who was going blind during the Revolution and went to England for treatment, reveal both that James Jay became expert in the treatment of eye disorders, and that John Jay was well aware of his techniques. John, who assumed or was assigned the position of “good son” in a troubled family, displayed an unusual sense of duty and family responsibility from an early age, as well as a certain concern for the unfortunate that may have contributed to his support for the abolition of slavery. Jay suffered from a sense of conflict between public and private duty and, though public duty usually won, this did affect his choice of public offices. Disabilities had also moved the family from city to countryside, and Jay’s upbringing
there no doubt contributed to the romantic passion for nature that appears in many of his letters, and led ultimately to his long retirement on his Bedford estate.\textsuperscript{19}

To what extent could similar case studies be found in other documentary editions, or the larger collections on which they draw? An online query to other editors and archivists, provided more tips on mental illness than on physical disabilities, and more for the 19th century and later than for the 17th or 18th centuries. \textit{The Diary of Elizabeth Drinker} contains well indexed material on disabilities as well as illnesses. Emotional troubles in the Adams family have received attention, but there can also be found material on blind John Greenleaf, who married an Adams relative. The George Washington Papers reported material on his epileptic step-daughter. The Jefferson Davis Papers reported documents on his mentally ill niece who died in an asylum. Scholars searching women’s documents for the American Revolutionary era also reported cases buried in family records, including one in the Harriet Liston Diary, regarding the position of an “idiot” among Native Americans. The Sedgwick papers at Massachusetts Historical Society were reported to contain material on Bi-polar Priscilla Sedgwick, and several later generations of mentally ill family members. The James Monroe Papers contained “discreet” material on a deaf and dumb grandson. Material from the microfilmed and digitized Pension Records of the American Revolution appeared in another paper at this conference. Naval pension records and the papers of the Marine Department Hospital similarly provide material on disabilities acquired in the line of duty. The War Department records now being assembled will no doubt do likewise. My work on the Papers of Robert Morris led to encounters with petitions to Congress and claims in treasury and naval records for compensation for wartime injuries. A Texas archivist reported ways in which inventive online searching techniques combining words associated with disabilities such as lost and arm helped the state to identify archival collections pertaining to the history of disabilities. A Syracuse University archivist similarly reported digital searches on finding aids identifying potential sources. Historians of disabilities can benefit from these scattered tidbits and case studies, when they know how to find them. And editors and archivists can develop more ways to make such materials visible and accessible to the historians who can use them.\textsuperscript{20}
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